## GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA SESSION 2023

S

## SENATE BILL 640 Judiciary Committee Substitute Adopted 4/26/23

	Short Title	e: Various Criminal Procedure Changes.	(Public)	
	Sponsors:			
	Referred t	to:		
		April 6, 2023		
1		A BILL TO BE ENTITLED		
2	AN ACT TO MODIFY VARIOUS LAWS RELATED TO CRIMINAL PROCEDURE.		PROCEDURE.	
3	The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:			
4		·		
5	MODIFY	<b>Y LAWS REGULATING THE ELECTRONIC RECORD</b>	ING OF CRIMINAL	
6	OR JUVENILE INTERROGATIONS			
7		SECTION 1.(a) Article 8 of Chapter 15A of the General Sta	tutes reads as rewritten:	
8		"Article 8.		
9		"Electronic Recording of Interrogations.		
10	"§ 15A-211. Electronic recording of interrogations.			
11	(a)	Purpose The purpose of this Article is to require the creation	of an electronic record	
12	of an entire custodial interrogation in order to eliminate disputes about interrogations, thereby			
13	improving prosecution of the guilty while affording protection to the innocent and increasing			
14	court efficiency.efficiency and confidence.			
15	(b) Application. – The provisions of this Article shall apply to all custodial interrogations			
16	of juveniles in criminal investigations conducted at any place of detention. The provisions of this			
17	Article shall also apply to any custodial interrogation of any person in a felony criminal			
18	investigation conducted at any place of detention if the investigation is related to any of the			
19		crimes: any Class A, B1, or B2 felony, and any Class C felony		
20		ith a deadly weapon with intent to kill inflicting serious injury.		
21	(c)	Definitions. – The following definitions apply in this Article:		
22		(1) Electronic recording. – An audio recording that is		
23		unaltered record; or a visual recording that is an auther		
24		record. A visual and audio recording shall be sir	• 1	
25		whenever reasonably feasible, provided that a defendation	int may not raise this as	
26		grounds for suppression of evidence.		
27		(2) In its entirety. – An uninterrupted record that begins		
28		start of the custodial interrogation, including a law		
29		advice to the person in custody of that person's constit	-	
30		when the interview custodial interrogation has con		
31		clearly shows both the interrogator and the person-		
32		finished. If the record is a visual recording, rec	•	
33		<u>interrogation</u> , the camera recording the custodial inter		
34 25		so that the camera films both the interrogator and the s		
35		recess, upon request by the person in custody or the la		
36		do not constitute an "interruption" of the record. The	e record will reflect all	



2

	General Assembly Of North Carolina	Session 2023			
1	starting and ending times and dates, including the starting	g time and date of the			
2	recess and the resumption of the interrogation.	, <u> </u>			
3	(3) Place of detention. – A jail, police or sheriff's station, corr	ectional or detention			
4	facility, holding facility for prisoners, or other facility whether the second se	here persons are held			
5	in custody in connection with criminal charges.	-			
6	(d) Electronic Recording of Interrogations Required Any law-	enforcement officer			
7	conducting a custodial interrogation in an investigation of a juvenile shall make an electronic				
8	recording of the interrogation in its entirety. Any law enforcement officer conducting a custodial				
9	interrogation interrogation, in an investigation relating to any of the following crimes a place of				
10	detention, of (i) a juvenile involved in a criminal investigation or (ii) any person involved in a				
11	felony criminal investigation shall make an electronic recording of the custodial interrogation in				
12	its entirety: any Class A, B1, or B2 felony; and any Class C felony of rape, sex offense, or assault				
13	with a deadly weapon with intent to kill inflicting serious injury.entirety.				
14	(e) Admissibility of Electronic Recordings. – During the prosecution of any offense to				
15	which this Article applies, an oral, written, nonverbal, or sign language statement of a defendant				
16	made in the course of a custodial interrogation may be presented as evidence against the				
17	defendant if an electronic recording was made of the custodial interrogation in its entirety and				
18	the statement is otherwise admissible. If the court finds that the defendant was subjected to a				
19	custodial interrogation that was not electronically recorded in its entirety, any statements made				
20	by the defendant after that non-electronically recorded custodial interrogation, even if made				
21	during an interrogation that is otherwise in compliance with this section, may be questioned with				
22	regard to the voluntariness and reliability of the statement. The State may es	-			
23	and convincing evidence that the statement was both voluntary and re				
24	enforcement officers had good cause for failing to electronically record the	e interrogation in its			
25 26	entirety. Good cause shall include, but not be limited to, the following: (1) The accused refused to have the interrogation electronica	lly recorded and the			
20 27	(1) The accused refused to have the interrogation electronica refusal itself was electronically recorded.	iny recorded, and the			
28	(2) The failure to electronically record an interrogation in	its entirety was the			
20 29	result of unforeseeable equipment failure, and obt	•			
30	equipment was not feasible.	anning replacement			
31	(e1) <u>Recordings of non-defendant custodial interrogations under t</u>	his Article shall be			
32	provided to the juvenile or criminal defendant as part of discovery requiren				
33	7B and 15A of the General Statutes.	<u> </u>			
34	(f) Remedies for Compliance or Noncompliance. – All of the follo	wing remedies shall			
35	be granted as relief for compliance or noncompliance with the requirements	-			
36	(1) Failure to comply with any of the requirements of t	his section shall be			
37	considered by the court in adjudicating motions to suppre-	ess a statement of the			
38	defendant made during or after a custodial interrogation.				
39					
40	(g) Article Does Not Preclude Admission of Certain Statements. – N	othing in this Article			
41	precludes the admission of any of the following:				
42					
43	(4) A statement made during a custodial interrogation that is	conducted in another			
44	state by law enforcement officers of that state.				
45		<b>.</b> .			
46	(6) A statement given at a time when the interrogators are una	aware that the person			
47	is suspected of an offense to which this Article applies.				
48					
49 50	(h) Destruction or Modification of Recording After Appeals Exhaus				
50	not destroy or alter any electronic recording of a custodial interrogation of a defendant convicted of any offense related to the interrogation until one year after the completion of all State and				
51	of any offense related to the interrogation until one year after the comple	tion of all State and			

	General Assembly Of North Carolina	Session 2023		
1 2 3 4 5	federal appeals of the conviction, including the exhaustion of any appeal of appropriate relief or habeas corpus proceedings. Every electronic recording identified and catalogued by law enforcement personnel. <u>Every electron</u> <u>non-defendant custodial interrogations may be destroyed at the conclusion of</u> <u>process.</u> "	should be clearly onic recording of		
6 7	<b>SECTION 1.(b)</b> This section becomes effective October 1, 202 custodial interrogations occurring on or after that date.	23, and applies to		
8 9 10 11 12	DIRECT STATE CRIME LAB TO ADOPT PROCEDURES TO NOTIF OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY OF CODIS HITS SECTION 2.(a) G.S. 15A-266.7 reads as rewritten: "§ 15A-266.7. Procedures for conducting DNA analysis of DNA sample.	Y THE OFFICE		
13	(a) The Crime Laboratory shall:			
14 15 16	(3) Notify the office of the district attorney for all CODIS mate	ches.		
10 17 18	<b>SECTION 2.(b)</b> This section becomes effective October 1, 2023.			
19	INCREASE RELIABILITY OF IN-CUSTODY INFORMANT STATEM	IENTS		
20	SECTION 3.(a) Chapter 15A of the General Statutes is amended			
21	Article to read:	, ,		
22	"Article 54.			
23	"Reliability of In-Custody Informant Statements.			
24	"§ 15A-981. Corroboration of in-custody informant statement.			
25	(a) Definition. – As used in this section, the term "in-custody informan	-		
26	other than a co-defendant, accomplice, or co-conspirator, whose testimony is b			
27	allegedly made by the defendant while both the defendant and the informant were held within a			
28	city or county jail or a State correctional institution or otherwise confined, where statements			
29	relate to offenses that occurred outside of the confinement.	C 1		
30	(b) <u>Recording of In-Custody Informant Interview. – All intervie</u>			
31 32	informants shall be recorded using a visual recording device that provides an a unaltered, and uninterrupted record of the interview that clearly shows both the statement of the interview that clearly shows both the statement of the interview that clearly shows both the statement of the stateme			
32 33	the in-custody informant.			
33 34	(c) Destruction or Modification of Recording After Appeals Exhausted	d The State shall		
35	not destroy or alter any electronic recording of an in-custody informant interv			
36	after the completion of all State and federal appeals of the conviction, includi			
37	of any appeal of any motion for appropriate relief or habeas corpus proceeding			
38	recording shall be clearly identified and catalogued by law enforcement perso			
39	<b>SECTION 3.(b)</b> This section becomes effective October 1, 202			
40	offenses committed on or after that date.	, II		
41				
42	EFFECTIVE DATE			
43	SECTION 4. Except as otherwise provided, this act is effective	when it becomes		
4.4	1			